22

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**

**Writ Petition No. 1142 of 2001**

**PETITIONER** Deena Ram Khandekar Aged about 29 years S/o Shri Gotilal Khande, R/o Village – Ramunagar, Akharar Tah. Lormi Distt.Bilaspur (C.G.)

**Versus**

**RESPONDENTS**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through – Secretary, School Education Department Raipur (C.G.)
2. Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat Lormi Distt – Bilaspur
3. Block Education Officer Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)
4. Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Ramu Nagar Akharar, Tah. Lormi, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

**(Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India)**

---

**Appearance:**

Shri P.P. Sahu and S.P. Sahu, Advocates for the petitioner.

Shri Sumit Verma, Panel Lawyer for the State/ respondents No. 1 & 3.

None for other respondents.

---

**ORAL ORDER**
**(10.12.2007)**

**SUNIL KUMAR SINHA, J.**

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was appointed on the post of *Guruji* under Education Guarantee Scheme vide order dated 20.6.98 (Annexure-P/2) and he worked on the said post for reasonably long period but by impugned order dated 20.1.2000 (Annexure-P/1), his appointment was cancelled. It has been mentioned in the

23

impugned order that since the appointment was made contrary to the rule, therefore, it has been cancelled. He further submits that this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation and with a direction to the concerned authority to decide the representation filed by the petitioner because the order is non-speaking order and it does not show that what was the irregularity in the appointment of the petitioner.

This limited prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the learned counsel for the State.

The same is allowed.

If so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation before the concerned authority along with copy of this order and copy of writ petition within a period of 3 weeks from today and if such a representation is filed, the same shall be disposed of by the concerned authority at the earliest by passing a reasoned order.

**Sd/-**
**Sunil Kumar Sinha**
**Judge**

vatti